

# EPA's Biogenic CO<sub>2</sub> Deferral Rule— The Impact of Recent Court Action on Industry

**Marco Island 2014** 

Scott Osbourn, P.E. July 24, 2014





# Agenda

- Background
- Recent Court Action
- Next Steps





# Background

#### Biogenic CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Defined by EPA as:

"Emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> from a stationary source directly resulting from the combustion or decomposition of biologically-based materials other than fossil fuels and mineral sources of carbon."

- Examples of biogenic fuels:
  - Landfill gas
  - Wood
  - Agricultural material
  - Biological fraction of MSW
  - Ethanol
- Not included: Natural gas, coal, fuel oil





# Background

#### **GHG Tailoring Rule**

#### **PSD** Review required:



- Step 1: January 1, 2011 for "anyway" facilities with PTE ≥ 75,000 TPY CO₂e or more
- Step 2: July 1, 2011 for new facilities emitting ≥ 100,000 TPY, or changes that increase GHG emissions by ≥ 75,000 TPY

This rule initially included **ALL** GHGs.



# **Background**

#### Biogenic CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Deferral

- **July 20, 2011:** PSD and Title V permitting requirements for biogenic emissions deferred for <u>3 years</u>.
  - A detailed examination of the science associated with biogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from stationary sources was to be completed during this time
- State, local, & tribal permitting authorities were to adopt deferral at their option.
- Deferral intended to be a temporary measure to allow EPA time to determine what, if any, regulatory applicability of biogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions should be in the PSD and Title V programs.
- Intent was to have a final (permanent) rule in place prior to July 21, 2014 deadline.





#### **Recent Court Action**

- NGOs challenged the Deferral Rule as being in violation of the Clean Air Act:
  - EPA has no authority to exempt any sources of CO<sub>2</sub>, including biogenic sources, from the PSD permitting program
  - Unique qualities can be accounted for at the BACT stage
- EPA argued it has authority to treat biogenic sources differently because they have unique characteristics that were "unquestionably unforeseen by [the] PSD" program.

■ The CO<sub>2</sub> biogenic deferral was vacated by the DC Circuit Court on July 12, 2013.





## **Recent Court Action**

- June 23, 2014, the Supreme Court ruled on the challenge to EPA's GHG regulatory authority.
- The current DC Circuit Court deadline for filing petitions for rehearing on the Deferral Rule was 30 days after SC decision, or July 23, 2014.
- Intervenors are requesting an addition 60 days (September 22, 2014) to evaluate the effect of the Supreme Court decision on the Deferral Rule.
- Possible that the SC UARG decision will render moot the need for further proceedings.





# **Implications of Court Decision**

- PSD GHG applicability now only applies to "anyway" sources (GHG applicability threshold TBD)
- Many renewable projects have been characterized as "minor" sources under PSD, if not for GHG impact
- In this context, the effect of the biogenic deferral may not be significant





## **EPA Actions**

- EPA has issued draft rules for GHG NSPS and ESPS
- The NSPS and ESPS imply equal treatment of fossil fuel and biogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
- EPA states that they are drafting a biomass accounting framework (BAF) to credit biogenic CO<sub>2</sub> depending on the material used, the rate of regrowth, geographic area and other factors.
- The SAB has reviewed EPA's BAF and concluded that biogenic CO<sub>2</sub> cannot automatically be considered carbon neutral.





## **Conclusions**

The uncertainty is having a chilling effect on the renewable appeal of biomass.

Until the EPA issues clear guidance, facilities can:

- Determine their worst-case classification
- Determine their PTE biogenic CO<sub>2</sub>
- Consider capping other PSD pollutants to retain minor source status
- Defend biogenic emissions at the BACT stage



